UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,094	10/09/2003	Poul Bach	10313.200-US	9214
	7590 11/07/200 NORTH AMERICA,	EXAMINER		
500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600 NEW YORK, NY 10110			METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/682,094	BACH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel S. Metzmaier	1796	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	of the final rejection
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ET GILEGIC BOX (B) WHEN THE THOT KEI ET WHO TIELD WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 October 2008. A brief in c the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric	or to the date of filing a brief will not be entered because
(a) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate.	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	adon and/or scarcin (see NOTE below),
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	conding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	d 41.33(a)).
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
non-allowable claim(s).	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 27-39</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-20 and 27-39.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notion entered affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notion entered affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notion entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notion entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor and the first entered affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor entered affidavit or other evidence failed affidavit or other evidence faile	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments raise new issues that require further search and/or consideration. For example, claim 13 sets forth that the liquid is "water or oil". Applicants have not herein before claimed a water soluble particulate starting material, wherein water is the liquid. It is unclear where applicants have provided basis for said claimed subject matter as amended. Said amendment raises the issue that if the material is water soluble and water is the liquid, what particulate materials are claimed. It is unclear if the particulate material is water soluble, such as carbohydrate particles (see at least claim 34). Applicants make statements that the prior art carbohydrates are not water soluble. Furthermore, applicants could have made said amendments prior to the Finallity of the rejection and do not provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why said amendments are necessary and were not previously presented.